STAT

David Braaten

WASHINGTON STAR

listoric drama of winners,

The cust has settled.

Surely the time has come names involved. Ready? when we can figure out what President. Ford's He lost, according to some "Sunday Night Thud" really means.

Never, perhaps, since Richard Nixon's resignation, or possibly the Fairfax County supervisors' decision to ban smoking in high school rest rooms, has a public issue aroused such intense and contradictory commentary by the experts.

One expert says this; another expert says that. How is the poor newspaper reader or television watcher to know what or which is meaningful? Or relevant? Or laughable?

straighten out the mess is to possible that the ousted depresent all the comments in fense secretary will prove some sort of order, to give to have been a winner. He those who might be interest- may turn out to be a board ed a kind of idea of how the chairman of a defense con-President's decisions aftractor.

fected each of the big

HENRY KISSINGER: of the commentators. Kissinger lost his leadership of the National Security Council, and will thus lose his audience with the President nine times a week. On the other hand, according to other commentators, he won, because he is still secretary of state and sustains an audience with the President once a week, when, through sheer intellectual brilliance, he can make up for all the missed audiences. The question here is whether it is better to meet with the President once or nine times.

JAMES SCHLESINGER: Well, the only way to Apparently a loser, it is

DONALD RUMSFELD: A winner on the face of it, but who can tell? Looking at the history of recent defense secretaries, like Louis Johnson and Robert McNamara, it's hard to see how he could benefit by his new assignment. On the other hand, remembering John Dean and John Ehrlichmann, it's hard to see how he could benefit from hanging on as a White House aide.

WILLIAM COLBY: Initially regarded as a loser. the CIA chief may turn out to be a big winner. His memoirs, whether written or merely threatened, could bring him security beyond the dreams of avarice. All it takes is that thin smile and that knowing look.

BRENT SCOWCROFT: Who?

ROGERS C.B. MOR-#ION: A winner, no doubt about that. He gets out of

losers and sleepers

the whole crazy mess.

GEORGE BUSH: loser. For a diplomat, he had possibly the softest soft job in the Foreign Service: an ambassadorship in a country where virtually no goofy Americans showed up to get into trouble. He will now be in charge of the Central Intelligence Agency, where goofy Americans get into trouble about three times a week.

ELLIOT RICHARDSON: A loser. Summoned back from London, where his top hat and his Harvard accent made him a winner, he will now become secretary of commerce, where these attributes will make him a

FLLIOTT ROOSEVELT: A winner. The character lived Cabinet nominee in the history of the Ford administration.

NELSON A. ROCKE-FELLER: A winner. He, too, has severed his rela-

tionship to the Ford administration.

RIGHT-WING REPUB-LICAN LEADERSHIP: Losers. They lost Schlesinger as a symbol of hard-line anti-Communism, and Rockefeller as a symbol of righteousness.

LIBERAL REPUBLI-CAN LEADERSHIP · Winners. They have so many grievances to be sore about, they'll be happy for years to ome.

MEDIA OBSERVERS: Winners, Grist for God knows how many pontifica-

Now that the President has succeeded in stirring up so many of the important people in the country with hic dynamic Sunday Nicht Massacre, he has only one small problem: How to interest the American public, which has been largely snoring through the whole thing.

Approved For Release 2007/06/22 : CIA-RDP99-00498R000100010144-4